# Granulocyte Transfusions Mahshid Mehdizadeh, MD Feb, 2023 Professor of ped hematology &oncology SBMU

# Neutrophils

- Neutrophils
  - an essential component of the innate immune response
  - the first line of defense
- granulocyte transfusions are infrequently used in practice
  - paucity of supporting evidence of efficacy
  - potential adverse effects
  - limited availability of high-quality products.

# Granulocyte Transfusion : Historical Background

- Performed in 1960s using donors with CML
- 1985-1995: less interest due to equivocation of evidence, poor/timeconsuming collections
- Resurgence of interest:
  - improved collection methods with instrumentation and pharmacologic agents
  - Anti-microbial resistance



Morse et al. (1966)

Results of patients with granulocyte injection between 1390 and 1400

- From 1390 to 1400 33 patients with prolonged severe febrile neutropenia received Granulocytes transfusion,
- 26 out of them were under HSCT
- Granulocytes were collected from healthy family iso blood group donors
- all donors had complete viral andonly viable for 24 hours
- At least 4 × 1010 neu- trophils up to 10.5 x 10 10 (mean 8 x 1010) Granulocytes harvested by optia cell separator
- Donor received prednisolone 50 mg po daily +/- GCSF 300 microgram SQ x 2 days
- Side effects:
- No transfusion reaction

### Adverse effect

### Number of events

# Thrombocytopenia (PLT count. 4 $<100 \times 10^{9}$ /L)

Venous access problems.	0
Numbness.	6
Bone pain	13
Head ache	10
Myalgia	4



Out of 26 patients who received granulocytes, 2 Patients < 18 years (8.7 %) were in the age group under 18 years, and 21 Patients  $\geq$  18 years (91.3%) were in the age group equal to and older than 18.



Out of 26 patients who received granulocytes 13 Patients (56.53 %) were Female, and 10 (43.48%) were Male.



**Primary Diagnosis** 



Out of 26 patients who received granulocytes 15 Patients (65.22 %) were undergoing Allogeneic HSCT, and 8 (34.78%) were undergoing Autologous HSCT.





Engraftment rate



### Antibiotic drugs



### Antifungal drugs



### Information related to age, number of fever days and granulocyte injection

Variable	Mean	Standard Division
Age	38	13.27
Number of injections	1.62	1.20
Number of days of fever before granulocyte injection	11.43	12.17
Number of days of fever after granulocyte injection	9.19	9.03
Number of antibiotics injected	6.41	1.94
WBC count after granulocyte injection	528.57	628.09

The interval between engraftment time and fever and granulocytes injection

Variable	Mean	Standard deviation
Time of engraftement (day)	13	4.56
The interval between the onset of fever and granulocyte injection (days)	11.43	17.64

### **Overall Survival**

#### One-month overall Survival



### survival

- moetality :12 patiens
- Aplastic anemia 5
- HD 3
- AML 2
- ALL 2
- 8 out of 12 died before engraftement

The interval between engraftment time and fever and granulocytes injection



According to the above graph, the average time interval between the onset of fever and the injection of granulocytes in the patients who died was longer than in the patients who survived.

## Review and discussion

# Granulocytes

- At least 1 × 1010 neu trophils would be required to achieve a therapeutic effect
- Whole blood-derived buffy coats contain 5 × 108 to 1 × 109 neutrophils
- advent of automated cell separators

Donor Stimulation: Granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) and Corticosteroids (CS)

- Mobilize grans. from BM into circulating pool
- Prolong PMN survival in vivo and in vitro
- G-CSF improves PMN quality
- CS: increase ANC 2-3-fold over 4-24 hrs.
- G-CSF: increase ANC 7-10-fold, peaking around

<u>12 hrs</u>, leading to > 1 x  $10^{10}$  grans.

- G-CSF+CS: increases ANC 10-13.5-fold
- GCSF adverse effects: h/a, bone and jt. pain, insomnia, CAD
- CS adverse effects: fluid accumulation, wt. gain, insomnia, posterior subcapsular cataracts Drewniak 2009, Liles 2000,

Ikemoto 2012, Stroncek 2001

# mobilization

- donors stimulated with steroids and containing approximately 1 to 2.5
  × 1010 neutrophils
- Co-administration of G-CSF and a single dose of dexamethasone increases the yield to 4 to 8 × 1010 neutrophils or higher
- Identifying a suitable donor and administering medications prior to granulocyte apheresis requires at least 1 day of lead-time
- Many centers limit G-CSF-mobilized granulocyte collections to related donors or friends of the patient
- The short-term side effects of G-CSF such as bone pain, headache, and myalgia are generally mild and treatable
- long-term follow-up of granulocyte donors stimulated with G-CSF and dexamethasone suggests that granulocyte donation is safe

# Harvesting agent: Hydroxyethyl Starch (HES)

- RBC-sedimenting agent; granulocytes at bottom of WBC layer (buffy coat) in centrifuge and similar in density to RBCs; hence such an agent creates better separation between the RBCs and grans.
- Added to donor blood as it enters centrifuge bowl of leukapheresis machine



OH

HO

OH

### Table 1

## Content of granulocyte components according to procurement method.

	Volume (mL)	Hct (%)	Neutrophils (×10 <sup>10</sup> )	Lymphocytes (×10 <sup>9</sup> )	Platelets (×10 <sup>9</sup> )
Buffy Coat (single) [20]	55-65	35-59	0.04-0.2	0.6-2.8	50-105
Pooled Buffy Coat [20]	232-272	18-24	0.7-1.17	5.2-8.2	226-585
Apheresis (steroid only)	215-330	10-15	1.2-2.7	1.5-2.0	50-180
Apheresis (G-CSF $\pm$ steroid) <sup>a</sup>	300-375	10-15	4.0-8.4	1.5-5.0	50-250

<sup>a</sup> Internal data, NIH.

# Ikemoto et al. (2012)

- Retrospective study
- 600 mcg G-CSF + 8 mg dexa (n=68) vs. 600 mcg G-CSF alone (n=40) vs. peripheral blood stem cell (PBSC) donors (5 days of G-CSF, 400 mcg) (n = 15)
- Leukapheresis with HES (n = 108) and without HES (15)
- Post-mobilization ANC, gran. collection yield, and adverse effects measured



Ikemoto et al. 2012



Ikemoto et al. 2012

# Donor selection and qualifications

- HES does not remove all RBC, so should be ABO, Rh matched and crossmatch compatible
- HES Contraindications: allergies/hypersensitivity to starch; renal dysfunction, dialysis; intracranial bleed
- CS Contraindications: HTN, DM, GI ulcers, glaucoma, TB, fungal infections



# Donor selection and qualifications

- Community donors and directed donors, such as family and friends
- Screen with blood donor questionnaire
- Test for transfusion-transmitted infectious disease markers (IDMs)
  - HBV, HCV, HIV, syphilis, , HTLV, CMV, HSV, VZV, TOXO, EBV
- Results generally take 2-3 days; usually Pt. needs GTX before that!
- Institution may require the donor have a negative IDM within past 30 days; nevertheless, could have ID, so needs emergency release procedure and approval of BB director

# Donor Mobilization, Collection, and Processing

- Mobilization: G-CSF 200-600 mcg SC + dexamethasone 8 mg PO
- <u>8-16 hrs</u>. prior to collection
- Leukapheresis (with HES)
- Duration: 3-5 hours, to process 7-10 L blood
- Label with unit #, blood type, collection date, expiration date, product volume, collection facility
- Irradiate with 25 grays (Gy): prevent GTX-associated GVHD
- Check WBC and diff. (gran. dose should be > 1 x 10e10; 1-2 x 10e9/kg for neonates);
  - Grans. Are not licensed by FDA but AABB standards requires at least 75% of products contain 1 x 10e10

# Practical points

- granulocytes are irra- diated to prevent transfusion-associated graft versus host disease
- stored at room temperature for a maximum of 24 hours to preserve adequate function
- the product should be cross match compatible with the recipient to prevent hemolytic transfusion reactions
- Transfuse STAT; begin slowly (e.g. 1-2 ml/hr); may premedicate with acetaminophen and/or diphenhydramine as the product is rich in cytokines and may cause fever and/or chills
- Granulocytes should be infused through a standard blood administration filter over 1 to 2 hours ,no leukocyte filter

# **Clinical Indications**

- No definitive indications
- Consensus opinion:
  - ANC < 500/mcL;
  - evidence of bacterial or fungal infection that's unresponsive to appropriate tx. (for > 48 hrs or life-threatening);
  - PMN recovery expected/curative tx. planned
- Chemotherapy and/or HSCT
- Aplastic anemia
- Neonatal sepsis
- Chronic granulomatous disease
- Prophylactic GTX



Elebute et al. 2006, Marfin et al. 2013

### Contravesies

- newer antimicrobial drugs
- prophylactic growth. factors
- A survey in England and North Wales reported little consensus among hematology units on the use of GTX
- The most commonly cited reason for reluctance to use GTX was lack of evidence of effect



# Practice guidelines

• In the USA



- no FDA recognition of granulocyte concentrates as a licensed blood component
- the Circular of Information (COI) outlines indications for which granu- locytes are typically used
- in France, Germany, and the UK
  - national guidance on the indications for therapeutic use of granulocytes is available
- In Canada, Australia and Brazil
  - there are standards or guidelines for collection and preparation but no official national recommendations on usage

# Outcomes: evidence of clinical efficacy

- The existing literature is predominantly
  - case reports
  - uncontrolled case series
- Cochrane database systematic review
  - low-grade evidence that prophylactic granulocyte transfusions in chemotherapy or HSCT decrease the risk of bacteremia or fungemia
  - primary prophylactic GTX is no longer recommended.

#### Case series of adult patients treated with GTX in the G-CSF era.

Study	N	Study design	Response (Fungal)	Response (Bacterial)
Grigg (1996) [60]	11	Retrospective	0% resolved	100% resolved
Price (2000) [58]	19	Prospective	0% with IA cleared infection	100% resolution
Lee (2001) [39]	25	Prospective	73% response	45% response
Illerhaus (2002) [62]	18	Retrospective	55% IPA responded	78% septicaemia responded
Hubel (2002) [71]	74	Retrospective	18% mould, 55% yeast stable	NS
Rutella (2003) [68]	22	Retrospective	57% (0% response in IFI)	54% response
Mousset (2005) [61]	44	Prospective	78% response at 30 days	92% response at 30 days
Safdar (2006) [104]	20	Retrospective	45% CR or PR, 15% stable	NS
Ofran (2007) [105]	47	Retrospective	64% infection-related survival	53% infection-related survival
Quillen (2009) [66]	32	Retrospective	44% survival	58% overall survival to discharge
Al-Tanbal (2010) [106]	22	Retrospective	75% survival	68% clinical improvement
Ang (2011) [107]	15	Retrospective	31% cleared	63% cleared
Kim (2011) [91]	128	Retrospective	47% control of IFI	53% overall control of infection
Safdar (2014) [108]	74	Retrospective	45% patients had IFI	46% overall response
Wang (2014) [109]	56	Retrospective	87% 30-day survival	92% 30-day survival
Marciano (2017) [88]	40	Retrospective	82% success	94% success

CR = complete response; IFI = invasive fungal infection; IA = invasive aspergillosis; IPA = invasive pulmonary aspergillosis; PR = partial response; NS = not specified.

### Table 3

### Case series including pediatric patients treated with GTX in the G-CSF era.

Study	N	Study design	Response (Fungal)	Response (Bacterial)
Grigull (2006) [84]	32	Retrospective	67% survival	81% survival
Kikuta (2006) [80]	13	Prospective	50% response	73% response
Sachs (2006) [81]	27	Prospective	100% response	NS
Drewniak (2008) [76]	18	Prospective	73% response	100% response
Seidel (2009) [82]	69	Prospective	28-day survival probability 0.51 $\pm$ 0.12	28-day survival probability 0.89 $\pm$ 0.06
Graham (2009) [83]	13	Retrospective	50% survived to discharge	100% survived to discharge
Atay (2011) [78]	35	Retrospective	55% clinical response	65% clinical response
Ozturkmen (2013) [77]	10	Retrospective	50% response	80% response
Diaz (2014) [79]	18	Retrospective	80% response	100% response
Nikolajeva (2015) [110]	28	Retrospective	79% 100-day survival	50% 100-day survival

Practice considerations.

When considering a course of GTX for a patient, determine: Is GTX **indicated**?

RING TRIAL the largest randomized controlled trial of granulocyte transfusions

- 114 patients with neutrophil count <0.5 × 109 /L and proven or probable bacterial or fungal in- fection
- The primary endpoint :survival plus microbial response at 42 days.
- Differences in primary endpoint success rates for granulocyte and control arms were not statistically significantly different for any infection type.
- The granulocyte dose was also lower than anticipated; the target of ≥4.0 × 1010 neutrophils per transfusion was only achieved in 70% of subjects.
- In a post-hoc analysis, subjects who received an average dose per transfusion of ≥ 0.6 × 109 granulocytes/kg tended to have better outcomes than those receiving a lower dose.

# Ring study



# Outcomes: evidence of clinical efficacy

- A sys tematic Cochrane review of therapeutic granulocyte transfusion
  - There were no clear differences in other outcomes
  - insufficient data to report on risks of harm
- it was not possible to establish whether granulocyte transfusions affect all-cause mortality.

### IN PEDIATRICS

- small size, so may obtain a superior increment in ANC post-GTX compared to adults
- however one prospective study showed that neither body weight nor granulocyte dose impacted infection outcome and sur- vival in pediatric patients
- More modest responses were re- ported in other series, with promising short-term survival but the majority of patients ultimately dying of their infection
- Some studies suggest a role for granulocyte transfusions in preventing infections or progression of infections in children with anticipated prolonged neutropenia after HSCT or chemotherapy

# CGD/NEONATES

- Case reports and small series of children and young adults with CGD report benefit from the addition of GTX to the therapeutic arsenal. The largest cohort of CGD patients with refractory infections treated with of GTX as an adjunctive therapy reported that overall >80% infections improved with this approach.
- To date, no randomized controlled trials of GTX have been conducted in children.
- A Cochrane review concluded that there is in- conclusive evidence from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to support or refute the routine use of granulocyte transfusions in neutropenic, septic neonates

# adverse effects of granulocyte transfusion

- Febrile and allergic reactions 10%-15%
- symptomatic management with antipyretics will usually suffice.
- CMV. Since granulocyte recipients often require CMV-safe blood products, CMV seronegative donors are generally recommended for seronegative recipients.
- Pulmonary complications, including hypoxemia, hemoptysis, pulmonary infiltrates, acute lung injury and fluid overload reported in 10%-18%

# adverse effects of granulocyte transfusion

- amphotericin B during GTX increase de- velopement of respiratory deterioration, keep some interval between
- alloimmunization to HLA and human neutrophil antigens, resulting in subsequent platelet and leukocyte transfusion refractoriness, or rejection of a subsequent allogeneic HSCT
- the RING study group reported no statistically significant difference in WBC alloimmunization in the GTX arm, and no demonstrable effect of the presence of alloimmunization on survival and micro- bial response at 42 days, the occurrence of transfusion reactions, or neutrophil increments

# future considerations

• in the future, granulocyte concentrates may be produced in advance, cryopre- served and made available as an off-the-shelf product.